

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,760	02/08/2006	John Hatrick-Smith	550639.00005	5904	
26710 OUARLES &	7590 08/19/2008 BRADY LLP		EXAMINER		
411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			PHILLIPS, CHARLES E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3751		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) HATRICK-SMITH ET AL. 10/539,760 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	Charles E. Phillips	3/51	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1:3 after SIX (6) MCNP18 from the mailing date of the communication. 1 Failure to reply within the set or ordended period for reply with 9 statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing canned patter term deliusement. See 37 CFR 1:70(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This a	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
 Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are rejected. 			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	• • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign (a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊦(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

A Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/05. 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/539,760

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5-8 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pinicaro.

See the pillow structure 14 having water outlets 22e. directed to the upper body as described at col. 2, lines 59+, through col. 3. The claims 3,7-8, 13 and 17-18 outlets can be seen at 22 e of Fig. 6. Claim 14 is met by Figs. 5-6 in that the pillow is flexible as set forth in col. 3. line 14 and the outlets are seen at 22e.

Claims 5, 10-11 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leaverton et al(5,682,625).

See the pillow 10 of Fig. 1, having pivoted jets at 42 and 46 as described at col. 5, lines 19+, where the jets are said to be of the type disclosed by Leaverton et al(5,495,627). These jets, as seen in Fig. 6 of the latter are pivoted and have a hollow interior through which water passes and a hollow mounting neck 112.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,9,12 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinicaro as applied supra. in view of Serio

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/539,760

Art Unit: 3751

Serio at 38 and 42 shows a tub with jet outlets configured as elongated. To provide for these outlets to be employed in lieu of those taught by Pinicaro would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan, as both teach identical art devices.

Shiina et al and Bloemer et al show tubs with jets directed at the upper body.

Brennan et al, Morgan et al and Didier show elongated jets.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 3-7.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,760

Art Unit: 3751

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: different aspects of the jets are shown in these figures.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E. Phillips whose telephone number is 571-272-4893. The examiner can normally be reached on daily from 7:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,760 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charles E. Phillips/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751
